Int. J. Environ. Res., 6(1):259-264, Winter 2012 ISSN: 1735-6865

Evaluating the Efficiency of Plantago Ovata and Starch in Water turbidity removal

Shahriari, T.^{1*}, Nabi Bidhendi, G.¹and Shahriari, Sh.²

¹Graduate Faculty of Environment, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran

²Department of Architecture, University of Payamenoor, Tehran, Iran

ABSTRACT: In the current study, the efficiency of starch and Plantago ovata extract as coagulant aids is compared in water turbidity removal. The coagulant is ferric chloride and the experiments are run in two ranges of pH 7 and 8. The achieved results of turbidity removal in different turbidity levels of 100, 50 and 20 NTU caused by different concentrations of starch and P.ovata as coagulant aids besides ferric chloride as the main coagulant are compared. According to the achieved results, the use of P.ovata or starch as the coagulant aid may play a key role in reducing the amount of FeCl₃ as the main coagulant and consequently decreasing the generated sludge. Furthermore, the remarkable turbidity removal efficiency gained when these coagulant aids are used in comparison with the case when no coagulant aid is introduced is also of interest. Generally, the both coagulant aids seem to be more efficient in pH value of 7 rather than 8. Although both coagulant aids used in this study manifested acceptable turbidity removal efficiencies, P.ovata showed higher capabilities of removal in different turbidity levels and pH values in comparison with starch.

Key words: Coagulant, Coagulant aid, Plantago ovata, Starch, Turbidity

INTRODUCTION

Water pollution results from human activities such as: domestic, agricultural and industrial, caused by wastewater treatment sludge, minerals and petroleum or persistent organic pollutants from incinerating of wastes, chemical synthetic substances (dyes, pesticides and so on) (Crini and Badot, 2007; Mishra et al., 2009; Sengupta et al., 2009; Ahmed and Al-Hajri, 2009; Gong et al., 2010; Kulluru et al., 2010; Nakane and Haidary, 2010; Nouri et al., 2010; Shegefti et al., 2010; Rehman et al., 2009; Dabhade et al., 2009). A vast variety of processes have been considered for water treatment focusing on particular contaminants (Salim and Munekage, 2009; Boadi et al., 2009; Bhatnagar and Sangwan, 2009; Ataei et al., 2009; Praveena et al., 2010; Tashauoei et al., 2010; Rahmani et al., 2010; Naim et al., 2010; Rajesh Kannan et al., 2010). Coagulation and flocculation are physicochemical process which is used for colloidal suspensions destabilization and remove them (Zouboulis and Traskas, 2005). Particulates responsible for water turbidity include suspended particles, clay and silt, bacteria and algae (Montogomery, 1985; Salvato, 1992; Twort et al., 1994). Coagulation is mainly done by metal salts, e.g., ferric and aluminium sulphates and chlorides. The most

common coagulants are ferric chloride, ferric sulphate and aluminium sulphate (Stechemesser and Dobias, 2005; Bratby, 2007).

Regarding adverse hygienic effects of synthetic polyelectrolytes, the substitution of a series of natural polyelectrolyte is developed (Fu et al., 2001; Ozacar and Sengil, 2002; Bell and Buckley, 2003). Moringa oleifera, starch, tannins, mucilages are natural coagulant. There are interesting studies about turbidity removal ability of them (Bratskaya et al., 2005; Pal et al., 2005; Beltran-Heredia and Sanchez-Martin, 2009). As a reliable substitution of metal salts and synthetic polyelectrolytes, chitosan biopolymer has also manifested considerable efficiencies in coagulation and flocculation processes (Szygula et al., 2008; Renault et al., 2009). P.ovata extract as a coagulant aid is efficient in water turbidity removal (Basudehradun et al., 1989; Raychaudhuri and Ahmad, 1993; Chadho and Rajender, 1995; Nabi Bidhendi et al., 2009).

One of the most important polysaccharides is starch. Starch consists of two fractions: amylose and amylopectin (Biliaderis, 1998).

^{*}Corresponding author E-mail:Shahriari1353@yahoo.com

In the current study, the efficiency of starch and P.ovata extract as coagulant aid is compared in water turbidity removal. The coagulant is ferric chloride and the experiments are run in two practical pHs of 7 and 8.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Three different turbidity levels of high (100 NTU), medium (50 NTU) and low (20 NTU) was made synthetically by adding sufficient clay to water samples. The MERCK ferric chloride (FeCl₃, 6H₂O), starch and P.ovata extract are used as the coagulant and coagulant aids, respectively. Normal sulfuric acid is used for pH adjustment. The experiments were performed in the water and wastewater lab of the faculty of environment, University of Tehran.

Experimental conditions of all experiments are as follow: 1) Regarding the highlighted influence of temperature on density, viscosity and amount of coagulants in keeping conditions, all the experiments were done in $25\pm2^{\circ}C$.

2) The volume of samples containing different levels of turbidity was adjusted to 500 cc.

3) Chemical oxygen demand (COD), TOC, pH and turbidity of the samples were measured by HACH DR/2000, HACH-LANGE DR/5000 uv/vis, Metrohm 691 Model digital pH meter and HANNA turbidity meter, respectively.

4) Digital scale METTLER AE200 was used for weighing.

All the experiments were performed by using jar experiment method. The jar set used in this study was PHIPPS &BIRD STIRRER 7790-402 Model.

At first, the samples of water which their turbidity and pH have been measured, were poured in special jar vessels, one time with known amount of ferric chloride as coagulant and another time ferric chloride with in accompany P.ovata as coagulant aid, then ferric chloride with starch coagulant aid were added to another samples of water in compare between P.ovata and starch as coagulant aid. 10mg/L concentration of ferric chloride as blank sample was considered in jar test for all turbidity levels. Furthermore, 10mg/L concentration of ferric chloride combined with 0.2 mg/ L, 0.1 mg/L and 0.04 mg/L of starch as well as the same concentrations of P.ovata was also tested in two practical pH levels of 7 and 8 to achieve the optimum combination. All experiments were tested in 100, 50, 20 NTU turbidity ranges.

The jar test setup was adjusted as 1 minute of 100 rpm, 25 minutes of 30 rpm to make floc and 30 minutes of sedimentation. The turbidity and pH were measured and compared before and after the jar test runs to evaluate the efficiencies.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

The final turbidity versus pH values for three different cases of 10 mg/L FeCl₃, 10 mg/L FeCl₃ & 0.2 mg/ L of P.ovata and 10 mg/L FeCl₃ & 0.2 mg/L of starch within the sample containing primary turbidity of 100 NTU and pH values of 7 and 8 are shown in Figs 1 and 2.

Similarly, the final turbidity versus pH values for three different cases of 10 mg/ L FeCl₃, 10 mg/L FeCl₃ & 0.1 mg/L of P.ovata and 10 mg/L FeCl₃ & 0.1 mg/L of starch within the sample containing primary turbidity of 50 NTU and pH values of 7 and 8 are shown in Figs 3 and 4.



Fig. 1. Comparison of final turbidities at initial pH 7 and 100 NTU turbidity in three stages. Stage 1: ferric chloride coagulant, Stage 2: ferric chloride coagulant in accompany with P.ovata as coagulant aid, Stage 3: ferric chloride with starch coagulant aid



Fig. 2. Comparison of final turbidities at initial pH 8 and 100 NTU turbidity in three stages. Stage 1: ferric chloride coagulant, Stage 2: ferric chloride coagulant in accompany with P.ovata as coagulant aid, Stage 3: ferric chloride with starch coagulant aid



■ 10ppm FeCl3 & 0.1ppm Smrch ■ 10ppm FeCl3 & 0.1ppm P.ovata ■ 10ppm FeCl3 Fig. 3. Comparison of final turbidities at initial pH 7 and 50 NTU turbidity in three stages. Stage 1: ferric chloride coagulant, Stage 2: ferric chloride coagulant in accompany with P.ovata as coagulant aid, Stage 3: ferric chloride with starch coagulant aid



■ 10ppm FeCl3 & 0.1ppm Starch ■10ppm FeCl3 & 0.1ppm P.ovata ■ 10ppm FeCl3

Fig. 4. Comparison of final turbidities at initial pH 8 and 50 NTU turbidity in three stages. Stage 1: ferric chloride coagulant, Stage 2: ferric chloride coagulant in accompany with P.ovata as coagulant aid, Stage 3: ferric chloride with starch coagulant aid

And in the same manner, the results of three cases of 10 mg/L FeCl₃, 10 mg/L FeCl₃ & 0.04 mg/L of P.ovata and 10 mg/L FeCl₃ & 0.04 mg/L of starch within the sample containing primary turbidity of 20 NTU and pH values of 7 and 8 are manifested in Figs 5 and 6. Finally, the values of primary versus final turbidity in three different levels of 100, 50 and 20 NTU in two pH values of 7 and 8 in three stages are shown in Figs 7 and 8.



Fig. 5. Comparison of final turbidities at initial pH 7 and 20 NTU turbidity in three stages. Stage 1: ferric chloride coagulant, Stage 2: ferric chloride coagulant in accompany with P.ovata as coagulant aid, Stage 3: ferric chloride with starch coagulant aid



Fig. 6. Comparison of final turbidities at initial pH 8 and 20 NTU turbidity in three stages. Stage 1: ferric chloride coagulant, Stage 2: ferric chloride coagulant in accompany with P.ovata as coagulant aid, Stage 3: ferric chloride with starch coagulant aid



Fig. 7. The final versus primary turbidities (high, moderate and low) in water samples containing pH 7 in three stages. Stage 1: ferric chloride, Stage 2: ferric chloride and P.ovata, Stage 3: ferric chloride coagulant with starch as coagulant aid

CONCLUSION

The achieved results of turbidity removal in different turbidity levels of 100, 50 and 20 NTU caused by different concentrations of starch and P.ovata as coagulant aids besides ferric chloride as the main coagulant are compared in this study. Additionally, the effect of pH in turbidity removal is also considered in each case. According to figures 1 and 2 which indicate the high turbidity water sample, the turbidity removal in the case of 10 mg/L of FeCl, and 0.2 mg/L of P.ovata is estimated to be 94.1% and 89.2% in pH values of 7 and 8, respectively. At the same time, for the combination where 10 mg/L of FeCl, and 0.2 mg/L of starch are used the removal efficiency is reported to be 92.4% and 85.44% in the two adjusted pH values. As it is shown in figures 3 and 4 (medium turbidity), the efficiency of turbidity removal in the case where P.ovata is considered as coagulant aid is estimated to be 94.5% and 89.3% for pH values of 7 and 8 respectively, while the equivalent efficiencies where the coagulant aid is introduced as starch are 86.5% for pH value of 7 and 81.6% for that of 8. The removal efficiency in low turbidity water samples (20NTU) for two pH values of 7 and 8 was 88.2% and 81.4% where P.ovata is the coagulant aid and 83.8% and 78.9% where starch is introduced as the coagulant aid.

According to the achieved results, the use of P.ovata or starch as the coagulant aid may play a key role in reducing the amount of FeCl₃ as the main coagulant and consequently decreasing the generated sludge. Furthermore, the remarkable turbidity removal efficiency gained when these coagulant aids are used in comparison with the case when no coagulant aid is



Fig. 8. Comparison of final turbidities for the applied turbidities (high, moderate and low) in three stages. Stage 1: ferric chloride coagulant, Stage 2: ferric chloride with P.ovata as coagulant aid, Stage 3: ferric chloride accompany with starch coagulant aid, pH 8

introduced is also of interest. Generally, the both coagulant aids seem to be more efficient in pH value of 7 rather than 8. Although both coagulant aids used in this study manifested acceptable turbidity removal efficiencies, P.ovata showed higher capabilities of removal in different turbidity levels and pH values in comparison with starch.

REFERENCES

Ahmed, T. A. and Al-Hajri, H. H. (2009). Effects of Treated Municipal Wastewater and Sea Water Irrigation on Soil and Plant Characteristics. Int. J. Environ. Res., **3** (4), 503-510.

Ataei, A., Panjeshahi, M. H. and Gharaie, M. (2009). New Method for Industrial Water Reuse and Energy Minimization. Int. J. Environ. Res., **3** (2), 289-300.

Basudehradun, B. D., Bisha, S. and Manhendrapol, S. (1989). Indian Medicinal Plants. Today and Tomorrow's Pub, **1–5**, 1–1033.

Bell, J. and Buckley, C. A. (2003). Treatment of textile dye in the aerobic baffled reactor. Water SA., **29** (2), 129-134.

Beltran–Heredia, J. and Sanchez–Martin, J. (2009). Removal of sodium lauryl sulphate by coagulation-flocculation with Moringa oleifera seed extract. Journal of Hazardous Materials, **164**, 713–719.

Bhatnagar, A. and Sangwan, P. (2009). Impact of Mass Bathing on Water Quality. Int. J. Environ. Res., **3** (2), 247-252.

Biliaderis, C. G. (1998). Structures and phase transitions of starch polymers, In R.H. Water (Ed.), Polysaccharide association structures in food, New York: Marcel-Dekker, Inc., 57–168.

Boadi, N. O., Twumasi, S. K. and Ephraim, J. H. (2009). Impact of Cyanide Utilization in Mining on the Environment. Int. J. Environ. Res., **3** (1), 101-108.

Bratby, J. (2007). Coagulation and flocculation in water and wastewater treatment. 2nd ed. IWA Publishing.

Bratskaya, S., Schwarz, S., Liebert, T. and Heinze, T. (2005). Starch derivatives of high degree of functionalization.10.Flocculation of kaolin dispersions, Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, **254** (1 – 3), 75-80.

Chadho, K. L. and Rajender, G. (1995). Advances in Horticulture Medicinal and Aromatic plants, Vol. 11, Maldorta. Pub., New Delh.

Crini, G. and Badot, P. M. (2007). Traitement et epuration des eaux industrielles polluees (in French). Besancon, France, PUFC Press.

Dabhade, M. A., Saidutta, M. B. and Murthy, D. V. R. (2009). Adsorption of Phenol on Granular Activated Carbon from Nutrient Medium, Equilibrium and kinetic Study. Int. J. Environ. Res., **3** (4), 557-568.

Fu, L. Y., Wen, X. H., Lu, Q. L. and Qian, Y. (2001). Treatment of dyeing wastewater in two SBR systems, Process Biochem, **36** (11), 1111-1118.

Gong, R., Li, N., Cai, W., Liu, Y. and Jiang, J. (2010). α -Ketoglutaric Acid-Modified Chitosan Resin as Sorbent for Enhancing Methylene Blue Removal from Aqueous Solutions. Int. J. Environ. Res., **4** (1), 27-32.

Kulluru, P. P., Das, B. S. and Panda, R. K. (2010). Evaluation of Sorption and Leaching Potential of Malathion and Atrazine in Agricultural Soils of India. Int. J. Environ. Res. **4** (1), 75-90.

Mishra, A., Mukherjee, A. and Tripathi, B. D. (2009). Seasonal and Temporal Variations in Physico-chemical and Bacteriological Characteristics of River Ganga in Varanasi. Int. J. Environ. Res., **3** (**3**), 395-402.

Montogomery, J. M. (1985). Water treatment principles and design, John Wiley and Sons Inc., 116–134.

Nabi Bidhendi, G., Shahriari, T. and Shahriari, Sh. (2009). Plantago ovata Efficiency in Elimination of Water Turbidity. J. Water resource and Protection, **1** (2), 90–98.

Naim, R., Kisay, L., Park, J., Qaisar, M., Zulfiqar, A. B., Noshin, M.and Jamil, K., (2010). Precipitation Chelation of Cyanide Complexes in Electroplating Industry Wastewater. Int. J. Environ. Res., **4** (**4**), 735-740.

Nakane, K. and Haidary, A. (2010). Sensitivity Analysis of Stream Water Quality and Land Cover Linkage Models Using Monte Carlo Method. Int. J. Environ. Res., **4** (1), 121-130.

Nouri, J., Mahvi, A. H. and Bazrafshan, E. (2010). Application of Electrocoagulation Process in Removal of Zinc and Copper From Aqueous Solutions by Aluminum Electrodes. Int. J. Environ. Res., **4** (2), 201-208.

Ozacar, M. and Sengil, I. A. (2002). The use of tannins from Turkish, J. Eng. Environ. Sci. **26**, 255-263.

Pal, S., Mal, D. and Singh, R. P. (2005). Cationic starch: an effective flocculating agent, Carbohydrate Polymers, **59** (4), 417-423.

Praveena, S. M., Abdullah, M. H. and Aris, A. Z. (2010). Modeling for Equitable Groundwater Management. Int. J. Environ. Res., **4** (3), 415-426.

Rahmani, A., Nouri, J., Kamal Ghadiri, S., Mahvi, A. H. and Zare M. R. (2010). Adsorption of fluoride from water by Al³⁺ and Fe³⁺ pretreated natural Iranian zeolites. Int. J. Environ. Res., **4(4)**, 607-614.

Rajesh Kannan, R., Rajasimman, M., Rajamohan, N. and Sivaprakash, B. (2010). Equilibrium and Kinetic Studies on Sorption of Malachite Green using Hydrilla Verticillata Biomass. Int. J. Environ. Res., **4 (4)**, 817-824.

Raychaudhuri, S. P. and Ahmad, J. (1993). Cultivation pf important medicinal Plants in India, In Glimpses in Plant Research, Today and Tomorrow's Printers and Publishers, New Delhi, **10**, 247–256.

Rehman, Z. U., Farooqi I. H. and Ayub, S. (2009). Performance of Biofilter for the Removal of Hydrogen Sulphide Odour. Int. J. Environ. Res., **3** (4), 537-544.

Renault, F., Sancey, B., Badot, P. M. and Crini, G. (2009). Chitosan for coagulation/flocculation processes – An eco – friendly approach. European Polymer Journal, **45**, 1337–1348.

Salim, M. and Munekage, Y. (2009). Removal of Arsenic From Aqueous Solution Using Silica Ceramic: Adsorption Kinetics and Equilibrium Studies. Int. J. Environ. Res., **3** (1), 13–22.

Salvato, J. A. (1992). Environmental engineering and sanitation, John Wiley and Sons Inc., 4th Ed., 346–348.

Sengupta, D., Aktar, W., Purkait, S., Paramasivam, M. and Ganguly, M. (2009). Simulated Laboratory Studies on Dissipation Kinetics of Prochloraz in Water at Different pH levels. Int. J. Environ. Res., **3** (3), 441-446.

Shegefti, S., Sereshti, H. and Samadi, S. (2010). Determination of Endosulfan in Water Samples Using Dispersive Liquidliquid Micro-extraction and Experimental Design for Optimization. Int. J. Environ. Res., **4** (2), 237-246.

Stechemesser, H. and Dobias, B. (2005). Coagulation and flocculation, Surfactant science series, vol. 126. 2nd ed. CRC Press.

Szygula, A., Guibal, E., Ruiz, M. and Sastre, A. M. (2008). The removal of sulphonated azo-dyes by coagulation with chitosan, Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochem. Eng. Aspects, **330**, 219–226.

Tashauoei, H. R., Movahedian Attar, H., Kamali, M., Amin, M. M., and Nikaeen, M. (2010). Removal of Hexavalent Chromium (VI) from Aqueous Solutions using Surface Modified Nanozeolite A. Int. J. Environ. Res., **4** (3), 491-500.

Twort, A. C., Law, F. M., Crowley, F. W. and Ratnayaka, D. D. (1994). Water supply, Edward Arnold, 4th Ed., 253–258.

Zouboulis, A. I. and Traskas, G. (2005). Comparable evaluation of various commercially available aluminium based coagulants for the treatment of surface water and for the post treatment of urban wastewater, J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol., **80**, 1136–1147.